Prostate cancer (PCa) is one of the most lethal cancers in western countries. Patients with localized PCa do not develop a life-threatening syndrome and are curable by either surgery or radiation treatment. However, those with more advanced metastasis PCa have only very limited treatment options. Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is the standard treatment for metastatic PCa. Unfortunately, a majority of patients will relapse and inevitably develop more aggressive metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC). Though there are few FDA approved treatment options for mCRPC (i.e., taxane compound, abiraterone, enzalutamide and sipuleucel-T), drug resistance will eventually develop. There is no effective treatment for relapsed mCRPC to date [2]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to better understand molecular basis of the development, progression, and drug resistance of mCRPC. Androgen receptor (AR) plays a key role in PCa progression. AR is a transcription regulator belonging to the nuclear receptor family and is the major mediator of androgen signaling in prostate cells. It consists of an N-terminal transactivation domain, a DNA binding domain, a hinge
region, and a C-terminal ligand binding domain [3]. AR was stabilized by molecular chaperone heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) in the cytoplasm. Upon androgen (i.e., testosterone and dihydrotestosterone) binding, AR dissociates from HSP90, forms a homodimer and translocates into the nucleus. Once in the nucleus, it binds to androgen response element (ARE) in the regulatory region of its target genes and modulates their expression [4,5]. One of AR target genes prostate specific antigen (PSA) is currently the most sensitive and widely used biomarker monitoring the presence, progression and therapeutic responses in PCa [4,6]. More importantly, it is well-known that androgen-AR pathway contributes to PCa cell proliferation, inhibits apoptosis, and promotes metastasis [4]. Thus, inhibition of AR function by ADT therapy is a first-line treatment of advanced PCa patients.

1. Expression and activity of AR splice variants (AR-Vs)

1.1. Expression of AR-Vs

In recent years, AR-Vs have emerged as potential important players in PCa progression and therapeutic resistance. Since 2008, more than a dozen of AR-Vs lacking the ligand binding domain have been identified from human PCa cell lines and xenografts [7–12]. The updated lists of AR-Vs are summarized in previous reviews [12,13]. These AR-Vs attracted much attention in the field due to their ligand-independent transcription activity. The presence of these AR-Vs transcripts in human prostate tissues have been validated by RT-PCR and RNA-seq [8,10,14]. Among them, AR-V7/AR3 is the only variant whose protein expression has been detected in human prostate tissues using isofrom specific antibodies [8,10]. The relative abundance of AR-V7/AR3 transcript appears to be highest among various AR-Vs detected in human prostate tissues [8,14]. It should be noted that the cryptic exon utilized in AR-V7 (Exon 3-CE3) or AR3 (Exon 3b) is also detectable in benign tissues [8,14], suggesting that this variant may also have a functional role in normal prostate. In general, AR-Vs have higher expression in more aggressive and metastatic tumor derived cell lines and xenograft models [8,10,11]. Among AR-Vs, AR-V7/AR3 and ARV567es appear to have relatively higher expression level than other isoforms. Hu et al.’s RT-PCR data showed that expression level of AR-V7/AR3 was significantly higher in mCRPC patients than in hormone-naive PCa patients [10]. To further characterize the expression profile of AR-V7/AR3, Guo et al. [8] developed a polyclonal antibody specific for AR3/AR-V7. In consistency with Hu et al.’s data, immunohistochemistry analysis revealed that AR3/AR-V7 expression is significantly increased in more malignant PCa tissues. Interestingly, it was observed that AR3 expression redistributed from basal and stromal cells to luminal epithelial cells with the increasing aggressiveness of PCa. In addition, the staining also showed that nuclear translocation of AR3 is significantly increased in mCRPC patient samples compared with hormone-naive PCa samples [8]. These studies suggested a potential functional activation of AR-V7/AR3 during PCa progression.

Bone is the primary site where metastatic PCa spreads. A recent clinical report showed that transcripts of AR variants (AR-V1, AR-V7/AR3, and ARV567es) were increased in PCa bone metastasis. The higher expression of AR-V7/AR3 and ARV567es in bone metastasis was associated with poorer prognosis [15]. The correlation of AR-Vs and other important parameters in PCa were also monitored. It is well known that PSA level after castration is a prognostic biomarker for distal metastasis. Kaplan–Meier analysis indicated that patients with higher AR3 cytoplasmic staining usually have higher PSA recurrence and lower overall survival probability [8]. A more recent study on a larger cohort of patients further validated the importance of AR-Vs, especially AR-V7/AR3, in metastatic PCa and CPRC [16]. They compared AR-V7/AR3 expression among three patient groups (localized PCa n = 100, newly diagnosed metastatic PCa n = 104, and mCRPC n = 46). The number of AR-V7/AR3 positive patients was significantly larger in newly diagnosed metastatic PCa group compared to localized PCa group. This rate increased more dramatically when comparing mCRPC to localized PCa. More importantly, the data revealed that the presence of AR-V7/AR3 was associated with shorter survival [16]. Thus, these studies suggest a strong link between AR-Vs and PCa progression. A recent study further support that AR-V7/AR3 is related to and valuable for clinical application. It showed that AR-V7/AR3 can be detected in circulating tumor cells from mCRPC patients. Patients with higher AR-V7/AR3 expression in circulating tumor cells had shorter PSA progression-free survival [17]. These findings suggest that AR-V7/AR3 may serve as a prognostic and predictive biomarker for metastasis PCa patients.

It has been well documented that transcription of AR genes is elevated upon androgen derivation possibly due to relief of negative feed-back regulation by AR–FL [18,19]. The relative increase of AR-Vs compared to AR–FL in CRPC could be resulted from change of splicing factors, RNA binding proteins, microRNAs [19–22]. RNA splicing factors U2AF65 and ASF/SF2 were shown to be critical for AR-V7/AR3 splicing under androgen deprivation condition [19]. RNA binding protein Sam68 could distinctly regulate AR-V7/AR3, but not AR–FL transcript levels [18,19]. In addition, epigenetic factors such as microRNAs are also involved AR-Vs transcript production. MIR-124 directly targeted AR-V4 and AR-V7/AR3 and downregulated their expression [22]. Thus, the expression of AR-Vs are likely regulated at multiple levels including transcriptional, posttranscriptional as well as posttranslational.

1.2. Regulation of AR-Vs activity

Although AR-Vs are shown to be able to regulate canonical AR target genes, it is still under debate whether AR-Vs have distinct functions or just serve as a substitute for AR-FL under androgen depleted condition [8,10,23]. Some studies suggest that the presence of AR–FL is critical for activation of AR-Vs. It has been shown that expression of AR-Vs regulated genes could be repressed by either ligand binding domain-targeted compound or AR–FL siRNA knockdown.
[23]. A recent mechanistic study also supports a role of AR–FL in AR-Vs’ function [24]. It was shown that AR–FL and AR-Vs formed a heterodimer mediated by DNA-binding domain and by N- and C-termini of AR-V and AR–FL, respectively. The dimerization seemed to be required for the function of AR-V. Mutants disrupting AR-V and AR–FL interaction diminished AR-V’s transactivation and reduced growth rate of AR-V positive androgen-independent PCa cells [24]. This study suggests that though AR-Vs are constitutively active, they might still need the presence of AR–FL to exert their functions. However, such kind of study could not exclude the possibility that mutation of the FxxLF motif of AR-V may also compromise its ability to interact with other co-factors essential for its transcriptional activity. On the other hand, some studies suggest that AR-Vs may also exert its unique function independent of AR–FL in addition to their overlapping activity. Two studies have shown that selective knock-down of AR variants in CWR-R1 cells caused the changes of a unique subset of genes that are not affected by selective knockdown of AR–FL [8,25]. It is also reported that AR-V is resistant to pharmacological inhibition of ligand binding domain of AR–FL. Specific knocking down of AR-Vs, but not AR–FL, had dramatically effects on canonical AR pathway genes expression under pharmacological inhibition [25]. A more recent report revealed that AR-V7/AR3 was capable of promoting PCA migration and sphere formation under the condition that exogenous expression of AR3/AR-V7 alone in AR-negative PCA cells (PC3/DU145) by upregulating genes involved in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [26]. This is consistent with a study in genetic modified mouse model in which AR3/AR-V7 is targeted expressed in mouse epithelial cells using the ARR2PB promoter [27]. In this mouse model, overexpression of AR3/AR-V7 in luminal epithelial cells appeared to antagonize the terminal differentiation process driven by AR–FL by promoting EMT. Perhaps, whether AR-Vs require AR–FL for their activity may very likely depend on cell context.

Currently, it is conceivable that AR-Vs are critical for maintaining AR transcriptomes under androgen deficient conditions. However, whether AR-Vs could initiate a distinct transcription program in addition to replacing AR–FL function to promote androgen independent PCA growth is still under debate. Several groups tried to identify AR-Vs regulated genes. Guo et al. [8] performed microarray analysis to compare AR–FL and AR-V7/AR3 regulated genes in PCA cells selectively knocking down AR-V or AR–FL. They showed that 71 genes were commonly regulated by both AR–FL and AR3 and 117 genes were preferentially regulated by AR-V7/AR3 but not AR–FL. Furthermore, their also identified novel ARE sites in the AKT1 regulatory regions by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay. These ARE sites were preferentially occupied by AR-V7/AR3 but not AR–FL. Interestingly, a study to compare AR binding sites in mCRPC and androgen-responsive PCA revealed a program shift of AR binding. Cell cycle regulator UBE2C is one of the top score targets of AR under androgen independent condition. In this study, the AR binding sites were identified by chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) analysis using an antibody recognizing the N-terminal part of AR which is common for both AR–FL and AR-Vs [28]. A follow up study using AR-V7/AR3 specific antibody validated the specific binding of UBE2C promoter by AR-V7/AR3 but not AR–FL under androgen depleted conditions [29]. In consistence with the ChIP assay, transient expression of exogenous AR-V7/AR3 preferentially modulated cell-cycle regulated genes. The expression of cell-cycle gene UBE2C appears to be correlated with AR-V7/AR3, but not AR–FL in both PCa cells and clinical mCRPC specimens [30]. However, in a later study, Li et al. [25] performed gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) to examine the response of the identified “AR-V-specific” M-phase genes in CWR-R1 cells. They found that AR-V7/AR3 regulated M-phase gene set was positively-enriched in androgen-induced AR gene signature derived from CWR-R1 cells. They also performed GSEA with gene expression datasets derived from LNCaP cells in response to different dose of dihydrotestosterone (DHT). Interestingly, the AR-V signature was positively enriched in the 1 nmol/L DHT dataset, but negatively enriched in the 100 nmol/L DHT dataset. They concluded that those mitotic genes appeared to be unique AR-V targets under androgen depleted conditions may reflect the proliferative events driven either by AR-Vs or androgen-stimulated AR. In addition, it has been showed that AR-Vs may bind to its unique targets independently of AR–FL, but coordinate with AR–FL on regulating canonical AR target genes [29]. In addition, distinct roles of AR-Vs might be attributed to their ability of binding of unique mediators. It has been shown that MEDI can be co-immunoprecipitated with AR-V7es independently of AR–FL. AR-V567es and P-MED1 co-occupies on the regulatory regions of UBE2C genes and promotes UBE2C expression independently of androgen [31]. Another report showed that RNA-binding protein Sam68 interacts with AR-V7/AR3 and enhances its transcriptional activity [21].

It is well established that ligand binding promotes AR to dissociate from chaperone HSP90 in the cytoplasm and translocate to the nucleus. However, AR-Vs only contain a portion of nuclear localization sequence (NLS) and lack the C-terminal AR dimerization domain and HSP90 binding domain. It is conceivable that the nuclear translocation mechanism of AR-Vs might differ from that of AR–FL [8,32,33]. It was showed that AR-Vs nuclear localization was independent on AR–FL and canonical HSP90 chaperone shuttling [34]. Recent studies revealed that microtubule-stabilizing taxane compound was capable of inhibiting nuclear translocation and transcriptional activity of AR–FL [35,36]. The subcellular localization of AR–FL was associated with the patients’ response to taxane chemotherapy [37]. On the other hand, AR-V was shown to be associated with microtubules to a much less extent than AR–FL. Several studies showed that nuclear translocation of AR-V7/AR3 was independent on microtubule motor protein dynein while AR–FL does [32,37]. Instead, a study has shown that nuclear translocation of AR-V7/AR3 can be inhibited by an import in β inhibitor [38]. These data suggest that nuclear translocation and activation of AR-Vs might be modulated by different mechanisms. More importantly, because nuclear localization and activity of AR-V7/AR3 is not inhibited by standard taxane chemotherapy, it is likely that AR-V7/AR3 may play a greater role in mCRPC after taxane treatment [32]. Along the same line, nuclear localized AR-V7/AR3 is able to trans-active canonical and non-canonical AR pathway genes and promotes PCa growth under androgen depleted conditions [8,10,29,34].
2. Functions of AR-Vs in metastasis PCs and therapeutic resistance

2.1. Transcription regulation

It is conceivable that AR-Vs play important roles in PCA progression and promote castration resistant growth. Overexpression of AR-V7/AR3 in AR-Vs negative PCA cells promoted cell growth under androgen depleted conditions. Knocking down of AR-V7/AR3 in AR-Vs positive PCA cells significantly attenuated cell growth [8]. As mentioned above, in addition to the canonical AR–FL regulated genes, AR-Vs may regulate a subset of M-phase genes under androgen deprivation conditions. Gene set enrichment analysis indicated that AR-V7/AR3 is capable of inducing the expression of cell-cycle related genes.UBE2C is one the cell-cycle genes specifically regulated by AR-V7/AR3 under androgen depleted conditions. AR-V7/AR3, but not AR–FL, binds to the regulatory regions of UBE2C and promotes its expression [29,30]. The expression correlation between UBE2C and AR-V7/AR3 has also been confirmed in clinical samples [30]. On the other hand, genes regulated by AR–FL are primarily related to biosynthesis, metabolism and secretion [30]. In parallel with this finding, knockdown of AR-V7/AR3 significantly reduced DNA synthesis while apoptosis was unaffected. In contrast, knockdown of AR–FL promoted apoptosis [8]. The effect of AR-V7/AR3 on cell growth is partially contributed by AR-V7/AR3 specific regulation of serine/threonine kinase AKT1 [8]. A recent report also showed that AR-V7/AR3 transcriptional activity can be inhibited by multiple AKT inhibitor and restoration of PI3K activity [39]. These data suggested that AR-V7/AR3 and PI3K-AKT pathway might form a positive regulation loop that contributes to PCA progression. This feedback loop is distinct from the negative feedback loop that AR–FL and PI3K-AKT repress the activity of each other [40,41].

In addition to cell-cycle regulation, AR-V7/AR3 is a critical factor for PCA metastasis. The AR3 transgenic (AR3Tg) mouse generated by Sun and his colleague [27] provides a new tool to study the role of AR-V7/AR3 in prostate. Their microarray analysis revealed 414 genes that were differentially expressed in AR3Tg compared to wild-type animals. Gene set analysis indicated that AR3 regulates multiple tumor-associated autocrine/paracrine factors including TGFβ2 and IGF1. TGFβ and IGF signaling are well-known positive contributor to PCA progression. Interestingly, a recent report showed that IGF-1R might be one of the upstream signaling of AR. IGF signaling modulates both AR–FL and constitutively active AR-Vs activity via receptor phosphorylation [42]. Thus, it is possible that AR-V7/AR3 and TGFβ2/IGF1 form a positive regulatory loop in androgen independent PCs. As demonstrated in another report, the link between AR-V7/AR3 and autocrine/endoctrine signaling is that AR-V7/AR3 expression and activation is associated with TNF-NFkB signaling. NF-kB activates AR-V7/AR3 modulates ADT drug enzalutamide resistance [43]. Down-regulation of NF-kB signaling decreased AR-V7/AR3 expression and restored the growth inhibiting effect of anti-androgen drug [44]. Autocrine/paracrine pathway signaling factors have been shown to have important function on the proliferation, survival, migration and maintenance of stem cell in various types of cancer including PCs [45–48]. Thus, these data suggest that the regulatory network of AR-V7/AR3 and autocrine/paracrine signaling is critical for CRPC progression.

Accompanied with the elevated autocrine/paracrine signaling, the expression of a number of EMT associated genes including N-cadherin, vimentin, snail and twist were also increased in AR-V7/AR3 overexpressed PCA cells and AR3Tg animals [27]. These findings were further validated by another group in multiple PCA cell lines. Overexpression of AR-V7/AR3 in LNCaP and DU145 cells led to upregulation of EMT markers fibronectin and ZEB1, respectively. Wound healing assay showed that AR-V7/AR3 is able to promote cell migration [26]. The correlation of AR-V7/AR3 and EMT markers highly suggest a role of AR-V7/AR3 in PCA metastasis [26,27,49]. In addition to EMT genes, stem cell signatures genes were also observed to be increased in AR3Tg prostate [27]. In consistence with the observations in AR3Tg, knocking down of AR-V7/AR3, but not AR–FL, reduced the expression of stem cell marker genes Nanog and Oct4 [26]. Overexpression of AR-V7/AR3 in PCA cells promote prostasphere formation [26]. In summary, these data suggest that AR-V7/AR3 contributes to PCA metastasis and mCRPC progression through promoting the process of EMT and acquiring stem cell properties.

2.2. Epigenetic regulation

Epigenetic regulation refers to dynamic alternations of the molecule function without the change of DNA sequence. Epigenetic regulation including DNA methylation, histone modification, microRNA and long non-coding RNA and other non DNA sequence alterations lead to molecular changes. The importance of epigenetics regulation in cancers including PCs has long been discovered [50]. Average DNA methylation and histone methyltransferase EZH2 level are increased in mCRPC [51–53]. This up-regulation of epigenetic elements play critical roles in PCA progression and the development of mCRPC [54]. AR has recently been shown contribute to the epigenetic regulation in multiple aspects. AR can recruit epigenetic co-activator/repressor to modulate certain genes expression. Association of AR with histone demethylase LSD1 and JMJC is critical for downstream gene expression [55]. Epigenetic factor EZH2 acts as a coactivator of AR and the interaction is critical for mCRPC [53]. AR is not only able to cooperate with protein. A recent finding showed that AR bound to IncRNA PRNCR1 and PCEGM1 which enhance both androgen dependent and androgen independent AR regulatory program and PCA proliferation [56]. In addition to cooperate with epigenetic factors, AR is also able to regulate gene expression via modulating epigenetic factors expression. A study showed that AR is able to regulate EZH2 expression through modulating miR-101 expression [57]. Androgen induced miR-21 upregulation was shown to play important roles in hormone-dependent and hormone-independent PCA growth [58]. The close relationship of AR and epigenetic factors opened a new window of how AR-Vs and epigenetic factors cooperate. Due to the lack of C-terminus ligand binding domain, AR-Vs may exert distinct roles in epigenetic regulation compared with AR–FL. Indeed, a few
Role of androgen receptor splice variants

2.3. AR-Vs and therapeutic response

Multiple lines of evidence indicated that AR-Vs is responsible for ADT therapy (bicamitamide and enzalutamide) resistance. Knockdown of AR-Vs, but not AR-FL, decreased androgen-independent PCs cell growth under bicalutamide or enzalutamide treatment [25]. The landmark of AR-Vs and ADT resistance in PCs patients is a study on 62 patients showed that detection of AR-V7 transcript in pooled epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM)-positive circulating tumor cells (CTCs) of men with progressive mCRPC was associated with resistance to the AR signaling inhibitors abiraterone and enzalutamide [17]. A subsequent study on 161 men with mCRPC showed that nuclear expression of AR-V7 protein were found in CTCs from 18% of patients. Patients who had AR-V7–positive CTCs were resistant to treatment of AR signaling inhibition but still responsive to taxane treatment [61]. These studies suggest that AR-V7 could represent a biomarker to guide treatment selection in mCRPC.

AR-Vs not only contribute to ADT resistance, but may also be associated with other drug resistance, such as chemotherapy drugs taxane. Taxane compounds, including paclitaxel, docetaxel and cabazitaxel, are one of the few effective chemotherapy treatments for mCRPC [62,63]. However, taxane chemotherapy only offer a limited survival benefit (3–6 months average) due to the drug resistance developed in mCRPC.

The action of taxane drugs is primary by disrupting microtubule function. Taxanes stabilize tubulin polymer and affect microtubule dynamic, thereby inhibiting cell division and microtubule-dependent protein trafficking. Microtubule-dependent AR trafficking is critical for AR-FL nuclear relocalization and activation. Evidence showed that microtubule binding of AR is mediated by the C-terminal domain of AR [32]. Because AR-V7 lacks the C-terminal domain, the nuclear localization, transcriptional activity, and tumor-promoting function of AR-V7 is not affected by taxane treatment [32]. Thus, AR-V7 may not be sensitive to taxane-induced microtubule stabilization and partially responsible for the resistance to taxane. Despite these findings in preclinical studies, the role of AR variants in taxane resistance has yet to be established in clinical study. Two small scale studies showed that expression of AR-V7 in circulating tumor cells is not associated with taxane resistance in mCRPC patients [64,65]. However, it is possible that AR-Vs served as an alternative pathway that is able to escape from the drug targeting mechanism thereby exerting its function on cell proliferating and metastasis. In addition to dodge the drug targeting, AR-Vs may also play a role in well-established general resistance mechanism such as increased drug efflux rate, alternation in drug metabolism [66]. Reports showed that AR-V7/AR3 is able to trigger stem-cell like feature in vitro and in vivo [26,27]. It is conceivable that stem-cell like cancer cells generally have overexpression of drug efflux transporters and up-regulated anti-apoptotic signaling pathways thus resistant to chemotherapies. In terms of metabolic regulation, the presence of AR-V7/AR3 was shown strongly associated with the down-regulation of citrate level and gains of function in oxygen poor environment. Both factors are closely associated with cancer progression and are key characteristic of mCRPC [67].

AR-Vs expression is higher in the more aggressive androgen deprived CWR-R1 and 22Rv1 cell compared with less aggressive LNCaP and its derivative cell lines. AR-V7/AR3 is also associated with multiple drug resistance as previously mentioned. These results suggest that various stress environment may selectively enhance AR-Vs expression. Multiple mechanisms may be involved in up-regulation of AR-Vs during PCs progression given the high degree of complexity and heterogeneity in both morphological and molecular level [68]. Deregulation of AR-Vs could be either through up-regulate AR-Vs expression in all PCs population or select AR-Vs positive population under drug treatment. Thus, it is possible that drug resistance raised from minor subpopulation that contain high level of AR-Vs which already present in the tumor before treatment. In 22Rv1 androgen-independent PCs cells, AR-V7 expression increased after long term bicalutamide treatment, suggesting the enrichment of AR-V7 in drug-resistant population [60]. Recent clinical reports suggest the concept that cross-resistance is exist for patients under enzalutamide treatments who have been previously treated with taxane compound [69,70]. These findings raised the possibility that taxane might provide a pre-selection for a drug-resistant subpopulation. Whether AR-Vs activity is responsible for the survival of the drug-resistant subpopulation needs to be further determined. The drug resistance property of AR-Vs makes it an important research topic in development of new drugs targeting AR. In recent years, much more effort was putting on the development of new compound targeting both AR-FL and AR-Vs. A new compound EPI-001 targeting N-terminal domain of AR was capable of blocking both AR-FL and AR-Vs transcriptional activity and inhibit mCRPC growth in mouse xenografts [71]. Some other new
androgen signaling inhibitors, such as galeterone and ASC-J9, displayed better efficacy in preclinical models compared to enzalutamide, possibly due to that they can inhibit both AR and AR-V activity [72,73]. Another new compound, a pan-BET degrader ARV-771, was shown effectively inhibit both AR–FL and AR-Vs signaling in PCa cells and lead to tumor regression in CRPC xenograft model [74]. These compounds may potentially become new therapeutic to overcome the AR-V induced drug resistance in mCRPC.

3. Perspectives

AR-Vs have emerged as critical players in PCa progression and therapeutic resistance through regulating both canonical AR signature genes as well as distinct cell cycle related genes unique for AR-Vs under androgen depleted conditions (Fig. 1). Expression of AR-V7/AR3 in circulating tumor cells could be used as a predictive biomarker for therapeutic response to guide treatment selection. However, mechanisms by which AR-Vs contribute to the development of mCRPC and drug resistance have yet to be fully understood. Further investigation is needed to elucidate the functional interaction between AR and AR-Vs given that the level of AR-Vs transcripts relative to AR–FL is extremely low. It is still unclear whether AR-Vs protein expression is correlated with their transcript level due to limited success in development of the specific antibodies for other variants besides AR-V7. It is conceivable that the expression pattern of AR-Vs could be very dynamic in response to various intracellular and extracellular cues. The dynamic repertoire of AR–FL and AR-Vs may provide a sophistic regulatory system to orchestrate cellular responses to androgens and other extracellular stimuli. In addition to their ability to directly regulate gene transcription independently, cooperatively or competitively with AR–FL, AR-Vs may play a role in epigenetic regulation by modulating non-coding RNAs and chromatin remodeling. Facilitated by cutting edge technologies (such as single-cell sequencing and imaging analysis), we would better understand the role of AR-Vs in PCa progression and therapeutic resistance.
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